

TRIFLUOROMETHANESULFONYL CHLORIDE, A MILD CHLORINATING AGENT¹

Gholam Hosein Hakimelahi² and George Just*

Department of Chemistry, McGill University,
 801 Sherbrooke Street West, Montreal, PQ H3A 2K6, Canada

Carbon acids (pK_A range: dialkyl malonate → methyl dichloroacetate) are efficiently chlorinated with CF₃SO₂Cl/NEt₃, even in the presence of alcohols.

It is well known that trifluoromethanesulfonyl chloride is an effective sulfonating agent for alcohols and amines. We wish to report that it is also a very effective mild chlorinating agent.

A series of carbon acids was treated with one equivalent of CF₃SO₂Cl and one equivalent of NEt₃ or DBU (1,5-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-5-ene) per "acidic" hydrogen in CH₂Cl₂ at 20-25^o for 1 hr. The results are summarized in the table, and show that fairly acidic compounds such as dimethyl malonate, methyl dichloroacetate or diethyl phosphite, and nucleophiles such as phenyl lithium are chlorinated.

<u>Starting material</u>	<u>Product</u>	<u>Yield</u>	
		DBU	NEt ₃
CH ₃ COCH ₂ COCH ₃	CH ₃ COCCl ₂ COCH ₃ ³	100%	100%
CH ₃ COCH ₂ COOEt	CH ₃ COCCl ₂ COOEt ³	100%	100%
CH ₃ COCH(CH ₃)COOEt	CH ₃ COCCl(CH ₃)COOEt ³	96%	87%
CH ₂ (COOMe) ₂	CCl ₂ (COOMe) ₂ ³	100%	100%
NC-CH ₂ COOMe	NCCCl ₂ COOMe ³	100%	100%
(EtO) ₂ PHO	(EtO) ₂ PClO ³	100%	85%
Cl ₂ CHCOOMe	Cl ₃ CCOOMe ³	70%	20%
(MeOOC) ₂ HCNHCOCH ₂ N ₃	(MeOOC) ₂ CO ³		70%
PhLi	PhCl ³		80%

In the chlorination of dimethyl malonate, triethylammonium trifluoromethyl sulphinate³ was isolated as the second product of the reaction. Dimethyl malonate did not react with trifluoromethanesulfonyl anhydride/NEt₃.

Other substrates bearing less acidic hydrogens such as methyl phenylacetate, cyclohexanone, acetophenone, methyl chloroacetate, phenylacetonitrile and methyl diphenylacetate, did not react under the conditions employed. Highly nucleophilic olefins such as 1-piperid-

inylcyclohexene gave a mixture of α,α and α,α' -dichlorocyclohexanone in moderate yield.⁴ Other unsaturated compounds such as Δ^5 -steroids, ethyl acrylate or anisole did not react.

In order to compare the relative sulfonating and chlorinating properties of $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_2\text{Cl}$ with respect to alcohols and carbanions, a methanolic solution of dimethyl malonate (pK_A 13.5) was treated with 2 eq of $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_2\text{Cl}$ and 2 eq of NEt_3 (pK_B 3.0). Dimethyl dichloromalonate was the exclusive reaction product at concentrations as low as 5×10^{-2} molar ($\text{MeOH}:\text{CH}_2(\text{COOMe})_2 = 500:1$).

At higher dilution, mixtures of dimethyl malonate and dimethyl dichloromalonate were isolated, and only at a concentration of 3.85×10^{-3} molar did sulfonation of methanol become the main reaction, as evidenced by recovery of dimethyl malonate only. It should be mentioned that both chlorination of dimethyl malonate in methanol (5×10^{-2} molar) and triflation of methanol (5×10^{-2} molar) were complete after 5 minutes reaction time at 22^o.

The ratio of the rate of chlorination of carbanions versus that of sulfonation of alcohols by $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_2\text{Cl}/\text{NEt}_3$ in CH_2Cl_2 must therefore be $>10^5$.

A comparison of the relative halogenating properties of $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_2\text{Cl}$, N-bromosuccinimide (NBS), N-chlorosuccinimide (NCS) and Br_2 towards a series of "acidic" compounds was carried out, using the conditions outlined in the experimental section, and the yields of mono (entries 1-4) and dihalo (entry 5) compounds obtained are summarized in the following table.

	$\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_2\text{Cl}$	NCS	NBS	Br_2
1. 2-Carboethoxybutyrolactone	100%	30	5	35
2. Diethyl methylmalonate	100	40	10	35
3. Ethyl 2-methylacetoacetate	100	35	7	30
4. Diethyl 2-(3-azidopropyl)malonate	100	25	3	20
5. Triethyl phosphonoacetate	100	0	0	0

Experimental

To a solution of 1 mmol of substrate in 15 ml of CH_2Cl_2 at 20^o was added per n "acidic" hydrogen of substrate n mmol of NEt_3 and n mmole of $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_2\text{Cl}$. After 1 hr, the solution was washed with water, dried and the solvent evaporated, and the product purified by chromatography.

References, Footnotes and Acknowledgements

1. We wish to thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada for financial support.
2. G.H.H. wishes to thank the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of Iran and the University of Shiraz for a scholarship.
3. Structures were proven by i.r., n.m.r., C.I.-mass spectroscopy, mass spectroscopy, and by elemental analysis or comparison with an authentic sample.
4. Established by g.c.-mass spectrometry and n.m.r. only.

(Received in USA 27 March 1979)